

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,138	09/30/2003	Risto Olavi Harjula	7212.3001.002	5711
75	590 06/20/2005		EXAM	INER
William J. Schramm			CINTINS, IVARS C	
Reising Ethington, Barnes, Kisselle, P.C. P.O. Box 4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 48099			1724	
110y, 1411 400	,,		1/24	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans	10/675,138	HARJULA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  by swill be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	av 2005.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	x parto wadylo, 1900 O.B. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.			
_					
4) Claim(s) 2-4,7,9,10 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050615			

Application/Control Number: 10/675,138

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedard (U.S. Patent No. 5,858,243). Bedard discloses removing contaminant metal ions of the type recited (see col. 5, lines 29-32) from an aqueous stream (see col. 1, lines 14-15) with a crystalline silicate material (see col. 2, lines 49 and 53) containing niobium, tantalum, antimony or mixtures thereof (see col. 2, lines 59-61). This reference further suggests the ratio recited in claim 2, as well as the concentration recited in claims 3 and 4 (see col. 2, lines 61-63). Accordingly, this reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the exact ingredients selected to prepare the reference treatment material. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a combination of antimony with niobium or tantalum as constituent "M" in the reference material, since this reference clearly suggests such a mixture of elements (col. 2, lines 59-61); and upon such a selection being made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a silicon compound, an antimony compound, and a compound of niobium or tantalum to prepare this reference material, since this reference material (i.e. crystalline silicate) clearly requires the presence of silicon, antimony and niobium or tantalum. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a conventional catalyst (acid) in the preparation of the above noted material, in order to facilitate its formation.

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 7, 9, 10, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedard as applied above, and further in view of Dietz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,888,398). Bedard discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the recited pH for the aqueous stream, the removal of radioactive metal ions, and the presence of background ions in the stream undergoing treatment. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat a nuclear waste stream of the type recited (i.e. containing radioactive cesium and background ions such as sodium and calcium) having a pH of less than 7 by the process of Bedard (see col. 1, lines 14-15), since Dietz et al. teaches (see col. 1, lines 22-27) that such nuclear waste streams are typically acidic; and further teaches (see col. 6, lines 3-6) that such streams contain background ions such as sodium and calcium.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins June 15, 2005